

SHARON CITY COUNCIL

Purpose: Special Council Meeting
Date: Friday, July 25, 2014
Time: 12:00 P.M.
Location: Sharon Municipal Building Council Chambers

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

TIME: 12:05 P.M.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

Council:

Yes President Palanski

Yes Rev. Trambley

Yes Mr. Connelly

___ Mr. Burke

Yes Mr. James

News Media:

___ The Herald

Yes Scott Andrejchak – City Manager

Yes Attorney Madden – City Solicitor

Yes Lori Merolillo – City Clerk

Dept. Heads:

Yes Terry Whalen - Fire Chief

___ Michael J. Menster - Chief of Police

___ John Cave - Street Dept.

___ Ken Griffith- Finance Director

___ Janet Thomas- Community Development

___ Robert Fiscus- Director of Code

PUBLIC COMMENTS - AGENDA ITEMS

PUBLIC COMMENTS - ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA:

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ORDINANCES

Public Hearing for Ordinance 07-14, introduced June 19, 2014- SECOND AND FINAL READING approving a referendum on the November ballot to repeal Section 914 of the Home Rule Charter.

ORDINANCES

MOTION that Ordinance #07-14 entitled “An ORDINANCE of the Council of the City of Sharon, County of Mercer, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, directing the City Manager to file with the Mercer County Election Bureau a ballot question requesting amendment to the City Charter by the repeal of Section 914 “Tax Limitations” of the City Charter as existent and to substitute therefore proposed language. **Pass SECOND reading by title.**

Motion: Mr. James

Second: Mr. Connelly

Vote: **Yes Mr. James**
 _____ Mr. Burke
 Yes Rev. Trambley

Yes Mr. Connelly
Yes Mr. Palanski

RESOLUTIONS

MOTION that Resolution #47-14 entitled “A RESOLUTION of the Council of the City of Sharon amending 464.03 of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Sharon, and setting overweight permits and fees from \$500 to \$5,000 for each vehicle route permitted in a calendar year. **Be adopted.**

Motion: Mr. Connelly

Second: Mr. James

Vote: **Yes Mr. Connelly**
 Yes Mr. James
 _____ Mr. Burke

Yes Rev. Trambley
Yes Mr. Palanski

Rev. Trambley asked if the language was correct by changing from \$500 to \$5000 per route. Mr. Andrejchak explained that the Ordinance allows the permits to be levied in a number of ways. Mr. Andrejchak added that it could be levied on each vehicle or on a particular route and as it stands now you can pay per vehicle \$2 per trip or you can pay \$500 for the route which we are making the route \$5000. Mr. Palanski asked if a company approached the City and explained that they had two overweight vehicles that they need to run, and then Mr. Andrejchak replied that they would be able to pay per trip which is \$2, and Mr. Andrejchak thought when the Ordinance was developed the City was only contemplating few trucks and few trips, but they have the option to pay per route or per vehicle. Mr. Palanski added that this fee takes care of the ability to replace the road in so many years because of high usage at \$5000, but Mr. Andrejchak explained that the money will go in the General Fund. Mr. Palanski suggested it be escrowed for that but Mr. Andrejchak explained the Ordinance does not specify that and said it could be discussed during Budget time. Rev. Trambley asked if PI&I is willing to pay these higher fees and Mr. Andrejchak explained it was not up to them and added that there were no other options for PI&I because no matter what route they take they will be on a local road.

COMMENTS:

News Media:

Citizens:

Mr. Andrejchak:

Mr. Connelly:

Mr. Burke:

Mr. James:

Rev. Trambley:

Mr. Palanski:

<u>ADJOURNMENT:</u>

Meeting adjourned at 12:12 P.M.

Motion: Rev. Trambley

Second: Mr. Connelly

Vote: Yes Rev. Trambley
Yes Mr. Connelly
Yes Mr. James

_____ Mr. Burke
Yes Mr. Palanski